

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	AN ARROWN CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
To: RECEIVED	PCT
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. 11 MENACHEM BEGIN STREET 15 AUG 2005	MOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND
RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL 52 52	THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
FILE NO. OO O	SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
G.E. EHRLICH (1945	( ) 3. 3 E/- 1
And the second s	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 25 JUL 2005 (d)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 28401	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No.	International filing date
PCT/IL04/01059	(day/month/year) 17 November 2004 (17.11.2004)
Applicant PROLAPSE INC.	
	4 st. with which of the International Seconding Authority
<ol> <li>The applicant is hereby notified that the international search have been established and are transmitted herewith.</li> </ol>	report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim	ns of the international application (see Rule 46):
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is no search report.	ormally two months from the date of transmittal of the international
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.:	34 chemin des Colombettes +41 22 740 14 35
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acc	1
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the	report will be established and that the declaration under International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	onal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
the protest together with the decision thereon has been request to forward the texts of both the protest and the	transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's decision thereon to the designated Offices.
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the appli	icant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Reminders	
Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 preparations for international publication.	the international application will be published by the International notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical
International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy	he written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international
before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.	These comments would also be made available to the public but not
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the en	f some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary nerty into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (	or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.
	plicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Samuel G. Gilbert Christian
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Authorized officer Samuel G. Gilbert  Samuel G. Gilbert  Samuel G. Gilbert
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. 866-217-9197

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Apj 284	plicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION		Form PCT/ISA/220 re applicable, item 5 below.
	ernational application No. I/IL04/01059	International filing date (day 17 November 2004 (17.11.2)		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 17 November 2003 (17.11.2003)
	plicant OLAPSE INC.			
Thi	is international search report has been ording to Article 18. A copy is being	prepared by this Internationa transmitted to the Internation	l Searching Aut al Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
Thi	is international search report consists		noumant aited is	n this report
		by a copy of each prior art de	ocument ched i	ii uus report.
1.	a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, u	international search was carri	ed out on the ba er this item.	sis of the international application in the
	The international			lation of the international application
			ce disclosed in 1	the international application, see Box No. I.
2.	_	l unsearchable (See Box No. l		
3.	Unity of invention is lacking	ng (See Box No. III)		
4.	With regard to the title,			
	the text is approved as subn	nitted by the applicant.		
	the text has been established	d by this Authority to read as f	ollows:	
			•	
5.	With regard to the abstract,			
	the text is approved as subn			
	the text has been established may, within one month from	d, according to Rule 38.2(b), b the date of mailing of this int	y this Authority ernational search	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant h report, submit comments to this Authority.
6.	With regard to the drawings,			
	a. the figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is	Figure No. <u>1b</u>	
	as suggested by the	applicant.		
	as selected by this a	Authority, because the applican	nt failed to sugge	est a figure.
	as selected by this a	Authority, because this figure b	etter characteria	zes the invention.
	b. none of the figures is to be a	oublished with the abstract.		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 2004)

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/IL04/01059

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  IPC(7) : A61F 2/02						
US CL.	: 600/030	e tallice e amo				
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both na	tional classification and IPC				
25.	S SEARCHED					
Minimum doc U.S.: 600	numentation searched (classification system followed 0/29-32; 128/dig25; 606/151, 154, 139, 144, 198-200	by classification symbols)				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched						
	Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)					
East						
C. DOCU	IMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a		Relevant to claim No.			
X —	US 2,391,343 A (POPPER) 18 December 1945. Sec		1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, 38			
A			6, 10, 18, 22, 31, 36, 39-48			
<u>x</u>	US 2002/0068950 (CORCORAN et al) 06 June 2002	2. See entire document.	1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, 38			
A			6, 10, 18, 22, 31, 36, 39-48			
x -	US 5,366,460 A (EBERACH) 22 November 1994. S	See entire document.	1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, 38			
A			6, 10, 18, 22, 31, 36, 39-48			
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.				
• Sp	ecial categories of cited documents:	"T' later document published after the inter				
date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand principle or theory underlying the invention  A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be						
	lication or patent published on or after the international filing date which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone				
"L" document establish ti specified)	laimed invention cannot be when the document is combined , such combination being					
"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	obvious to a person skilled in the art				
'P" document priority da	published prior to the international filing date but later than the te claimed	"&" document member of the same patent for	anily			
	Date of the actual completion of the international search  26 June 2005 (26.06.2005)  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Alexandria Virginia 27313:1450  Telephone No. 866-217-9197					
26 June 2005		Authorized officer	11 000			
	Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Authorized officer  Supple C City of Communication and Communication					
Commissioner for Patents  Samuel G. Gilbert						
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  Telephone No. 866-217-9197						
Facsimile No.	(703) 305-3230					

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/IL04/01059

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
<u>X</u> A	WO 01/17435 A (GAINOR) 15 March 2001. See entire document.	1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19- 23-30, 32-35, 37, 3  6, 10, 18, 22, 31, 3 39-48
	-	

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

ACENT G.E. RHRLICH (1995) LID. 11 MENACHEM BEGIN STREET RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL 52 521  Applicant's or agent's file reference 28401  Applicant's or agent's file reference 28401  International application No.  International filing date (day/month/year)  17 November 2004 (17.11.2004)  International Patient Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  IPC/IT/64/01059  International Patient Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  IPC/IT/64/12/02 and US Cl.: 600/030  Applicant  PROLAPSE INC.  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of only of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 436/s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations apporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VI Certain observations on the international application Box No. VI Certain observations on the international application Box No. VI Certain observations on the international application Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VI Certain decises in the international application Box No. VII Certain decises in the international application Box No. VII Certain decises in the international application Box No. VII Certain decises in the international application Box No. VII Certain decises in the international application Box No. VII Certain decises in the international	From the INTERNAT	IONAL SEARCI	ING AUTH	ORITY		
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. II MENACHEM BEGIN STREET RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL 52 521  Applicant's or ugent's file reference  28401  Applicant's or ugent's file reference  28401  International application No.  International filing date (day/month/wear)  Priority date (day/month/wear)  International application No.  International filing date (day/month/wear)  Priority date (day/month/wear)  International Palent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  IPC(T): AGIF 2/02 and US Cl.: 600/030  Applicant  PROLAPSE INC.  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. II Basis of the opinion  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. IV Certain documents cited  Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examinaing Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other han this cone to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1&is/6) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA and methodose in experiments of experiments of propensions from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expendation of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Searching Authority  Box No. 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert Authority Clipter  Samuel	To:					PCT
RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL 52 521    Date of mailing (Adymenthyeer)   (PCT Rule 43bis.1)     Date of mailing (Adymenthyeer)   (Adymenthyeer)   (Adymenthyeer)	G.E. EHRI					
Applicant's or agent's fife reference  Applicant's or agent's fife reference  28401  International application No.	11 MENAG RAMAT C	CHEM BEGIN S JAN, ISRAEL S	TREET 2 521			
Claphronth/year   St JUL (Light)   Applicant's or agent's file reference   POR FURTHER ACTION   See paragraph 2 below   Priority date (dap/month/year)   Priority d						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
See paragraph 2 below   See paragraph 2 below   See paragraph 2 below   Priority date (day/month/year)   Priority   Pri			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(day/month/year)	
International application No.	Applicant'	s or agent's file r	eference		FOR FURTHER	
PCT/IL 04/01059   17 November 2004 (17.11.2004)   17 November 2003 (17.11.2003)		al amiliaction No		International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International Fatent Classification (IPC) or both rational classification and IPC IPC(T): A61F 2/02 and US Cl.: 600/030 Applicant PROLAPSE INC.  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No. I Basis of the opinion			) <b>.</b>	_		
PROLAPSE INC.	PCT/IL04/ Internation	01059 al Patent Classifi	cation (IPC)			17 November 2003 (17.11.2003)
Applicant   PROLAPSE INC.						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No. I   Basis of the opinion		711 2 02 alla 05	01 000.030			
Box No. II Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450	PROLAPS	E INC.				
Box No. II Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450						
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Certain observations on the international application  Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert		Box No. I	Basis of the	e opinion		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Certain observations on the international application  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert   Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert		Box No. II	Priority			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert		Box No. III	Non-establi	ishment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability
Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attr. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert		Box No. IV	Lack of uni	ty of invention		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Mathorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized Samuel G. Gilbert		Box No. V	Reasoned s applicabilit	tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> y; citations and explanation	.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such st	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized Samuel G. Gilbert		Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cited		
2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized Samuel G. Gilbert		Box No. VII	Certain def	ects in the international ap	plication	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized Samuel G. Gilbert		Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations on the internation	nal application	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized to be a written opinion will be considered to be a private this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authorized the International Pureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit	2 181118.3	THER ACTIO	N.			
IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Samuel G. Gilbert	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b)					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Samuel G. Gilbert	IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert  Authorized officer  Samuel G. Gilbert	For fu	rther options, see	Form PCT/IS	SA/220.		İ
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450  Samuel G. Gilbert	3. For fu	rther details, see	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		The state of the s
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450  Samuel G. Gilbert	Name and	mailing address o	f the ISA/ US	3	Authorized office	and the som
1:0:10011400	l M	Iail Stop PCT, Attr	: ISA/US		Samuel G. Gilber	who Have
	l P	.O. Box 1450				

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL04/01059

<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in who was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> <li>This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).</li> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the clair invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:         <ol> <li>type of material</li> <li>a sequence listing</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	
was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).  With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the clair invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing	
which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).  2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the clair invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing	
invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing	_
a sequence listing	red
table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material	
in written format	
in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been fi or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.  4. Additional comments:	ed he
4. Additional comments.	

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL04/01059

1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims 6, 10, 18, 22, 31, 36, 39-48	YES_			
	Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, 38	ио			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 6, 10, 18, 22, 31, 36, 39-48	YES			
Millian Supplement	Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, 38	МО			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-48	YES			
The state of the s	Claims NONE	NO			

#### Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, and 38 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Popper(2,391,343).

Applicant's attention is invited to Figures 1-4. The examiner is taking arms -12- and -13- to be frames and membranes -11- as a pair of flexible sheets. The frame is flexible as shown in figure 4. The device is capable of performing the recited function in the applicant's claims.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, and 38 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Eberbach (5,366,460). Applicant's attention is invited to figures 48-56 and the corresponding written description. The device is capable of performing the recited function in the applicant's claims.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, and 38 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Corcoran et al.(2002/0068950).

The applicant's attention is invited to figures 1, 2, and 7 and the corresponding written description. The device is capable of performing the recited function in the applicant's claims.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-17, 19-21, 23-30, 32-35, 37, and 38 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Gainor (WO 01/17435). See the closure device. The device includes metal frame members and fabric sheets. The device is capable of performing the recited function in the applicant's claims.

Claims 6, 10, 18, 22, 31, 36, 36, and 39-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of treating prolapse of a pelvic organ including inserting a device as claimed through the vagina and inserting the device between the organ and the vagina.

Further, biodegradable frame members are not taught along with the particular structure to expand the device as claimed.

Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

## NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where onginally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: where originary there were 40 claims and ariel amended claims bearing the same numbers, Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers, claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added.
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are [1]: Claims I to 15 replaced by amended claims I to 11.
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in auding new chaims]:
  "Claims I to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
  "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 tenchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claims 1-10 tenchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 12 and 21 added."

## "Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

## It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to cutations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

## Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submatted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing, the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the derivand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

# Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's amention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.

#### NOTESTOFORM PCT/ISA/120

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article," "Rule" and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

#### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended

During the international phase, the claims may also be amenced (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to Sle the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.